



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/001,744	10/31/2001	John Falk Kelley	AUS920010748US1	2721
7590 Robert H. Frantz P.O. Box 23324 Oklahoma City, OK 73123-2334			EXAMINER TRUONG, CAM Y T	
			ART UNIT 2169	PAPER NUMBER
			MAIL DATE 09/03/2008	DELIVERY MODE PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/001,744	Applicant(s) KELLEY ET AL.	
	Examiner Cam Y T. Truong	Art Unit 2169	

All Participants:

(1) Cam Y T. Truong.

(2) Attorney Robert H. Frantz .

Date of Interview: 30 August 2008

Type of Interview:

☐ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Time: _____

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Examiner withdrew allowance and rejection claim 16 under the 112 because the limitations "subsequently throughout a day period: automatically repeating execution of said selected available aggregation actions; automatically repeatedly updating said Content Portion to display results of said repeated aggregation actions; periodically automatically presenting results of said broad search in said Content Portion of said single web page in a manner less conspicuous to said user than said aggregation action results" in claim 16 was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Cam Y Truong/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2169

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

